

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,711	02/26/2002	Gregory G. Brucker	S63.2-10306	3951	
490 7	7590 11/09/2004		EXAMINER		
•	RETT & STEINKRAU	WEBB, SARAH K			
6109 BLUE CI SUITE 2000	IRCLE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNETONK	CA, MN 55343-9185	3731			

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Λ2			
	,	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/083	,711	BRUCKER ET AL.	,			
		Examir	ier	Art Unit				
	·	Sarah P	Webb	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	<b>;</b>			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (a) period for reply is specified above, the maximum size to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no umunication. (30) days, a reply within the setatutory period will apply and uy will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commun ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	led on 20 Septembe	r 2004.					
• —-	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is						
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1-39,41 and 42 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-31 and Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 32-39 and 41 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restrict the claim(s)	<u>42</u> is/are withdrawn ed.	from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are							
	Applicant may not request that any obj							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includir The oath or declaration is objected							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priorit  Certified copies of the priorit  Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	y documents have by documents have be s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Appl ments have been rec Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stag	je			
Attachmer	• •			(0.70				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	· (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)			

Art Unit: 3731

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: the status label should be "currently amended" instead of "previously presented." Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 32 38 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,972,017 to Berg et al.

Figure 9 illustrates a bifurcated stent with a first section (14), a second section (12), and *at least four* linkage members (22a). Berg explains that the linkage members (22) are integral with both the first and second sections (column 5, lines 10-15 and column 6, lines 15-31). The stent can be formed of shape memory metal, as Berg lists "nitinol" as a material for construction (column 4, line 39). Regarding claim 36, the linkage members (22) meet the broad limitation of "substantially S-shaped." As shown in bold, the linkage member (22a) has many curves that can be considered "S-shaped." Regarding claim 41, there are at least eight linkage members (22), as some members (22) are located on the side of the stent that is not illustrated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,071,298 to Lashinski et al.

Berg includes all the limitations of claim 39, except for selectively annealing the links of the stent. As shown in Figures 3 and 7 especially, the linkage members of The Berg stent must be highly flexible to allow such a collapsed delivery configuration.

Lashinski teaches that stent sections requiring greater flexibility can be selectively annealed (column 4, lines 5-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to selectively anneal the links of the stent of Berg, as taught by Lashinski, as this provides the links with greater flexibility.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-39 and 41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah K Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4706. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,711 Page 4

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhthuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SKW 11/03/04

DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER